



GSQ-UQ Webinar Series 2022 CEI Round 5 Drilling NW Queensland Undercover extensions of the Mt Isa Inlier – results and implications

Above: CNDD001A at 621.85m

ASX : SER www.strategicenergy.com.au



Forward Looking Statement and Disclaimer

This presentation has been prepared by Strategic Energy Resources Limited (ASX: SER) (SER or the Company). It contains general information about the Company's activities current as at the date of the presentation. The information is provided in summary form and does not purport to be complete. This presentation is not to be distributed (nor taken to have been distributed) to any persons in any jurisdictions to whom an offer or solicitation to buy shares in the Company would be unlawful. Any recipient of the presentation should observe any such restrictions on the distribution of this presentation and warrants to the Company that the receipt of the presentation is not unlawful. It should not be considered as an offer or invitation to subscribe for or purchase any securities in the Company or as an inducement to make an offer or invitation with respect to those securities. No agreement to subscribe for securities in the Company will be entered into on the basis of this presentation or any information, opinions or conclusions expressed in the course of this presentation. This presentation as to whether to invest in SER shares. The information contained in this presentation is not investment or financial product advice and is not intended to be used as the basis for making an investment decision. The presentation has been prepared without taking into account the investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any particular person. Unless otherwise specified, data and tables set out in this presentation are based on SER management estimates.

This presentation does not include all available information on SER, and any potential investor should also refer to SER's Annual Reports and ASX releases and take independent professional advice before considering investing in SER. For more information about Strategic Energy Resources Limited, visit the website at http://www.strategicenergy.com.au/.

No representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the fairness, accuracy, completeness or correctness of the information, opinions and conclusions contained in this presentation. To the maximum extent permitted by law, none of SER or its directors, or any of their employees or agents, nor any other person accepts any liability, including, without limitation, any liability arising out of fault of negligence, for any loss arising from the use of the information contained in this presentation. In particular, no representation or warranty, express or implied is given as to the accuracy, completeness or correctness, likelihood of achievement or reasonableness or any forecasts, projections, prospects or returns contained in this presentation nor is any obligation assumed to update such information. Such forecasts, prospects or returns are by their nature subject to significant uncertainties and contingencies. Past performance is no guarantee of future performance.

This presentation may contain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of securities laws of applicable jurisdictions. Forward-looking statements can generally be identified by the use of forward looking words such as "may", "will", "expect", "intend", "plan", "estimate", "anticipate", "believe", "continue", "objectives", "outlook", "guidance" or other similar words, and include statements regarding certain plans, strategies and objectives of management and expected financial performance. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, many of which are outside the control of SER and any of its officers, employees, agents or associates. Actual results, performance or achievements may vary materially from any projections and forward-looking statements and the assumptions on which those statements are based. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements and SER assumes no obligation to update such information.

Competent Person Statement

The information in this presentation that relates to Exploration Results is based on information compiled by Mr Stuart Rechner BSc (Geology) MAIG MAusIMM, a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists and the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr Rechner is a Director and shareholder of Strategic Energy Resources Limited. Mr Rechner has sufficient experience which is relevant to the styles of mineralisation and types of deposits under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the 'Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves'. Mr Rechner consents to the inclusion in this presentation of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.





Presentation Overview

- The Canobie Project Team
- Regional Setting
- Historical Exploration
- CEI Drill Program
- Drilling Results
- Implications
- What's next?



Above: CNDD001A at 628 – 640m

The SER Team



Board and Management





Dr David DeTata Managing Director BSc MSc PhD (Chemistry) MBA GAICD



Anthony McIntosh Non-Executive Director BCom GAICD

Technical Team





Neil Chalmers Exploration Manager BSc MSc (Geology) MAIG Dr Chris Yeats Exploration Manager, NSW BSc(Hons.) PhD FGSAus



Dr Steve Beresford Chief Geological Officer Lapis Consulting BSc PhD



🔨 🛛 montana G.I.s

Theo Aravanis
DirectorDavid
Geo
Geo
Mo
BSc Hons.BSc Hons.B

David McInnes Geophysicist Montana GIS BSc Hons Neil Hughes Geophysicist Montana GIS BSc Hons

montana G.I.S



Barry Bourne Geoscientist Terra Petrophysics *BSc Hons.*

James Lally Principal Consultant Mining Associates BSc (Hons) Geology, MSc, PhD, MAIG, MSEG

MININGASSOCIATES

Peter Pollard Geoscientist Mining Associates

PhD, FSEG

MININGASSOCIATES

Richard England Petrologist Petrology Services



Project Level Consultants



Collaborative Exploration Initiative - Round 5

- Two CEI grants awarded to SER and successfully completed in 2021
- Deep Learning Based Geological Interpretation of Geophysical Data Reducing Risk of Deep Cover Exploration in the Mt Isa Region (CEI0308)
 - Collaboration between SER & Caldera Analytics (Michael Rodda)
 - Presentation given at the GSQ Technical Workshop for Industry in Townsville (<u>GSQ Technical</u> <u>Workshop for Industry - Townsville (Day 1) – YouTube</u>)
- Drilling New Base Metal Target (CEI0268)
 - Co funding to drill one deep diamond hole on the Canobie Project (G24, Kalarka) targeting Pb-Zn-Ag as a Cannington Analogue – first regional drill program since 2008
 - Discrete magnetic target within a broader magnetic low
 - Modelled EM plate
 - Pb-Zn anomalous metasediments in surrounding holes



The Canobie Project









Project Scale









Historical Exploration





Historical Exploration

- 1960's southern end of Canobie explored for shale oil holes did not intersect basement (Toolebuc Formation)
- 1992-98 MIM conducted a gravity and magnetic survey before drilling 6 holes targeting granites and co-incident magnetic-gravity highs in search of Ernest Henry style deposits. Identified mafic-ultramafic intrusions and sub-economic Nickel-PGE before withdrawing;
 - Tea Tree Prospect: TT001D 10.4m @ 0.25% Ni & 0.28% Cu from 508.2m. Depth of basement confirmed as ~ 420m
- 2002-06 Falcon Minerals drilled two holes targeting Ni Sulphides (SMD01 & SMD02)(one regional target) leading to a JV with Anglo American
- 2006-2009 Anglo American JV further eight holes targeting SQUID EM anomalies for Ni-PGE, with most targets intersecting highly conductive graphitic meta-sediments
 - SXDD005 17m @ 6.75g/t Au from 631m incl 5m @ 19.30g/t Au
- Focus shifted away from Nickel-PGE towards IOCG potential at Lucky Squid





Historical Exploration

- 2009 2010 AngloGold Ashanti purchased JV from Anglo American
- Collected close spaced airborne magnetics (100m), gravity and close-spaced SQUID EM and over Lucky Squid Prospect
- 2010 drill program was designed to target up-dip extent of mineralisation intersected at SXDD005 and favourable SQUID EM anomalies
 - SXDD014 15m @ 9.09g/t Au from 699m with anomalous Cu
- Targets concluded as conductive graphite-rich metasediments
- 2012 Falcon Minerals drilled a further 4 holes at Lucky Squid targeting a NNE structure including;
 - SXDD016 1m @ 26.1 g/t Au from 603m
 - SXDD017 5m @ 2.48 g/t Au from 627m

"All holes steepened significantly and this needs to be resolved before drilling recommences".

"Geological evidence suggests main alteration and structure west of drill hole".





SER Work Program pre CEI

- 2019-2020 Drilled 4 diamond drillholes at Lucky Squid following up on high grade gold IOCG mineralisation
 - SXDD020 6m @ 12.08 g/t Au from 519m (incl 2m @ 32g/t Au)
 - SXDD022 1m @ 8.37 g/t Au from 650m
 - SXDD023 1m @ 1.43 g/t Au from 691m
- Stepped back and made the decision to explore untested targets in the region – capturing expanded tenement footprint
- Re integration, merging of geophysical datasets including magnetics, ground gravity and SQUID EM
- Gravity survey at Clonagh (southern end of tenement package)
- Target generation: 50+ anomalous gravity, magnetic ± EM targets interpreted to potentially be related to IOCG, magmatic sulphide or sedimentary Pb-Zn systems
- 2021 CEI drilling





CEI Drill Program (logistics)

- CNDD001A 907.3m (angled hole) intersected basement at 474m downhole (drilled October 2021)
- Suffix "A" due to initial hole failure whilst drilling rotary mud through the overburden *difficultly of exploring undercover*
- Hole steepened meaning that the EM plate was not intersected until 900m (was planned to be reached at 750m)
- EM plate not directly explained (i.e. no obvious source at projected depth) – likely to be either sourced from the sulphide zones higher in the hole or potentially not intersected
- Petrophysics confirmed magnetic and gravity response explained by the bulk mafic-ultramafic rocks intersected
- Downhole EM attempted crews on site failed to collect data due to downhole temperatures too high for the tool *lesson learnt*
- Hole grouted to secure possible aquifers in the overburden
- 1m half core samples of full basement intersection





Kalarka – not what we had expected?



Drill program targeted a magnetic anomaly.....

Drilling intersected a ultramafics - mafic system which is mapped in the gravity

Magnetics more complicated – remanence?

Drilling is a great truth teller.....





Kalarka Results (rocks)

- Two holes intersected a new regional target (Kalarka) located 3km west of the known Tea Tree disseminated sulphide prospect intersecting thick ultramafics with semi massive sulphide including:
 - CNDD001A (co funded hole) 132m of disseminated Ni-Cu sulphides within ultramafic @ 0.1% Ni within from 507m including 2m @ 0.68% Ni, 0.17% Cu from 635m (CEI hole)
 - CNDD002 43m of disseminated Ni-Cu sulphides within ultramafic @ 0.1% Ni within from 615m and 0.6m @ 0.96% Ni, 0.11% Cu from 661.6m
- Petrology confirmed cumulate ultramafics with magmatic sulphides
- Mineralisation dominated by pyrrhotite with low levels of nickel and copper (low R factor)
- Taxites, miarolitic cavities, disseminated sulphides, net textured sulphides, semi massive sulphides
- Engaged global magmatic mineral systems expert Dr Steve Beresford who identified the significant potential of the project and built a geological framework putting the project in context.



CNDD001A

Rock types – textures

• Drill holes demonstrate that the sulphide saturation is present at Tea Tree





Source: England 2022 Report



CNDD001A

Rock types – textures





CNDD001A 792m – varied crystal size mafic volatile related mafic with thin massive sulphide zone

Local scale example of taxitic texture overlying massive sulphide, within lower volatile rich mafic pulse at Kalarka





Intrusion Classification and Architecture

Kalarka: CNDD001A



Intrusion Classification and Architecture







Canobie – Magmatic Ni – Cu target

- <u>Hypothesis</u>: The Canobie Project contains the key geological criteria to form polymetallic nickelcopper style mineralisation.
 - The layered mafic intrusion drilled at Tea Tree contains fertile primitive ultramafic rocks (right rocks to host massive sulphide mineralisation)
 - Sulphur saturation (forms massive sulphide)
 - Evidence of the magma assimilating suitable host rocks capable of introducing sulphur and metal to form higher tenor mineralisation than identified thus far
 - Geophysical data indicates that favourable intrusion geometry targets are untested within the project (chonoliths)



Known Magmatic Ni-Cu systems worldwide (intrusions sizes are to scale)

These geological criteria share similarities to globally significant mineralised systems including Nova-Bollinger (Fraser Range, WA), Thunder Bay (USA), Voisys Bay (Labrador, Canada), Jinchuan (China) and Noril'sk – Talnakh (Russia).



Is Mt Isa the Next Magmatic Nickel Province?

- Regional Setting (of Tea Tree)
 - ✓ Edge of Mt Isa Inlier (Gidyea Suture)
 - ✓ Large Igneous Province Wonga Suite Lunch Creek Gabbro (1740Ma) is a LIP
 - Therefore the magma is potentially intruding the Corella Formation (1770 Ma)
 - Corella Formation contains calc-silicates (evaporite) which could act as highly favourable assimilants of sulphur and metal into a magmatic system
 - (preserved minerals in historically petrology reports from Tea Tree)
 - Acknowledge the difficulty in identifying primary verses secondary salt minerals
 - Rock types and textures are right, sulphur saturation is right, regional setting works, conceptual better assimilants in the system to form higher tenor grades.
- What else do we need?
 - Tea Tree appears to be assimilating graphite and is interpreted as a 'static' intrusion i.e. sulphides have not moved enough to form high grade sulphides: this explains the low tenor sulphides – is this why the Tea Tree EM conductor is large and undisrupted?
 - Is there a dynamic chonolith setting, magmatic nickel-copper systems trapped in tunnel shaped, dynamic portions of the intrusive structure. Mapping gravity in 2D and 3D suggests chonolith – tunnel geometries – this means we need to be looking for smaller intrusions with tunnel geometries.





What's Next?

- SER pegged additional tenure at the southern end of Canobie for possible additional mafic intrusives with Tea Tree similarities
- SER to review all available Tea Tree holes a build a logging and geochemical dataset to map the phases of the Tea Tree intrusive (ongoing)
- Scientifically build the mineralisation model understand the chemical settings of the system (assimilants) (ongoing)
- Undertake additional geophysical processing techniques and studies to extract all available information from the geophysical datasets – hunt dynamic settings within the intrusion (ongoing)
- Additional geophysical surveys infill ground gravity to map the intrusion (pending)
- All with a focus of defining new drill targets



Exploration Data Centre – Brisbane – June 2022



Acknowledgements

- SER would like to thanks
 - Collaborative Exploration Initiative (CEI) GSQ and the Queensland Government
 - Dr Steve Beresford (Mineral Systems Expert)
 - Mr Theo Aravanis (Geophysical Consultant)
 - Mr Dave McInnes (Geophysical Consultant)
 - Field staff + contractors especially Steve Konecny for getting the drilling done during the COVID Pandemic
 - Landholder at Canobie station
 - Traditional owners The Mitakoodi People





For further information:

Investors	Media
David DeTata	Jonathan van Hazel
Managing Director	Citadel-MAGNUS
T +61 3 9692 7222	T +61 411 564 696

Registered Office Level 4, 100 Albert Road South Melbourne, Victoria 3205

info@strategicenergy.com.au

Follow our progress on



